Interview Summary	Application No. 09/689,928	Applicant(s) Takeda et al.
	Examiner Dung Nguy	Group Art Unit en 2871
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Dung Nguyen	(3) <u>Patrick Burn</u>	s PMB
(2)	(4) Josh Snider	JeS
Date of Interview Oct 10, 2001	_	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's re	presentative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e)⊠ No. If yes, br	ief description:
Claim(s) discussed: all		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: Applicant's representative has provides their argument to prior art. All of the claims have been discused, and no again.	show the differences	between the new invention and the cited
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Records.)	copy of the amendment trate record of the sub MAL WRITTEN REPLY PEP section 713.04). OM THIS INTERVIEW	ents that would render the claims allowable is estance of the interview (if box is checked). TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST If a reply to the last Office action has DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

DVNG NGWYEN